

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/650,207	Applicant(s) JANKE ET AL.	
	Examiner George R. Evanisko	Art Unit 3762	

All Participants:

 (1) George R. Evanisko.

 (2) Peter Maki.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To confirm that no claims were amended and this could/would result in a first action final. In addition, the examiner stated that the advisory action of 7/6/06 was the incorrect advisory action. That the original advisory was lost at the office and that the examiner reprinted an incorrect advisory action to replace the original advisory. Therefore a copy of the original advisory action is provided with this action..